

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,600	01/22/2002	Scott M. Partridge	279.124US3	8302
21186	7590 05/27/200	4	EXAM	INER
	MAN, LUNDBERG,	EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT		
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/054,600	PARTRIDGE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	George R Evanisko	3762
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: ute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/1	17/04.	
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 72-77 and 83-93 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 88-93 is/are withdrest. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 72,76,77,83 and 87 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 73-75 and 84-86 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		-
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	MICE ACTION OF TOTAL PTO-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
	·	
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 88-93 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the amendment and response of 3/17/04.

Claim Objections

Claims 72-77 and 85-87 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 72, line 7, it is suggested to delete "is". In claim 85, "the at least one" should be "the" since "at least one" has not been previously recited. In claim 86, "electrode tip" should be "electrode" since "tip" has not been previously recited. In claim 87, "each" should be "the" since only one tine has been recited. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 3762

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 72, 76, 77, 83, and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kroll (5257634). Kroll shows in figures 2, 3, 5, 6, and 8 the catheter for implantation in the apex with the defibrillation tip electrode, such as 25, 27, 31, or 37, and conductive tines, such as 24, 28, 33, or 38, having conductive members as a distal tip of each conductive tine with the background (column 1) discussing the use of the catheter with an ICD and delivering defibrillation pulses. In addition, the tine are connected/coupled to other conductive tines to meet the limitation in claim 77 of "coupling a conductive member" to the at least one conductive tine.

In the alternative, Kroll discloses the claimed invention except for the implantation of the defibrillation electrode in the apex, the connection of the catheter to an ICD, the delivery of the defibrillation pulse from the defibrillation electrode, and the coupling of a conductive member to the distal tip of the tine. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the defibrillation catheter as taught by Kroll, with the implantation of the defibrillation electrode in the apex, the connection of the catheter to an ICD, the delivery of the defibrillation pulse from the defibrillation electrode, and the coupling of a conductive member to the distal tip of the tine since it was known in the art that defibrillation catheters use: the implantation of the defibrillation electrode in the apex to provide defibrillation

energy to minimize the threat of injury to heart tissue and to prevent electrolysis of the blood; the connection of the catheter to an ICD to provide a totally implantable device that is capable of delivering a defibrillation pulse to the electrode and lessen infection in view of a percutaneous device; the delivery of the defibrillation pulse from the defibrillation electrode to defibrillate the heart and prevent death, and the coupling of a conductive member to the distal tip of the tine to provide a further element or surface area to the tine to easily deliver the electrical pulse to the tissue.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 73-75 and 84-86 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by amendment.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO Application/Control Number: 10/054,600

Art Unit: 3762

final action.

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762 </24/4

GRE May 24, 2004